

The Coulomb Problem in Momentum Space without Screening

Ch. Elster

V. Eremenko, L. Hlophe, N.J. Upadhyay, F. Nunes, G. Arbanas, J. E. Escher, I.J. Thompson

(The TORUS Collaboration)







Physics Problem: Nuclear Reactions dominated by few degrees of freedom

Reactions: Elastic Scattering, Breakup, Transfer





Physics Problem: Nuclear Reactions dominated by few degrees of freedom

Reactions: Elastic Scattering, Breakup, Transfer





Reduce Many-Body to Few-Body Problem





- Isolate important degrees of freedom in a reaction
- Keep track of important channels
- Connect back to the many-body problem

Hamiltonian for effective few-body poblem:



Effective Three-Body Problem \rightarrow Faddeev calculation





(d,p) Reactions as three-body problem



Deltuva and Fonseca, Phys. Rev. C79, 014606 (2009).

Elastic, breakup, rearrangement channels are included and fully coupled (compared to e.g. CDCC calculations)



Issue: current momentum space implementation of Coulomb interaction (shielding) does not converge for Z ≥ 20



Theoretical Foundation for a remedy:

A.M. Mukhamedzhanov, V.Eremenko and A.I. Sattarov, Phys.Rev. C86 (2012) 034001

Generalized Faddeev formulation of (d,p) reactions with: (a) explicit inclusion of target excitations (b) no screening of the Coulomb interaction

Faddeev formulation \rightarrow momentum space

Suggestions:



Target excitations:

Including specific excited states \rightarrow Formulation with separable interactions



No screening of the Coulomb interaction:

Formulation of Faddeev equations in Coulomb basis instead of plane wave basis

Both need preparatory work!







Roadmap for Proof of Principle

Separable interactions for

- neutron-proton (literature)
- − neutron-nucleus → phenomenological optical potentials
- proton-nucleus \rightarrow Woods-Saxon functions in r-space
- Coulomb distorted neutron-nucleus formfactor
 - Coulomb wave functions in momentum space
 - Integration over oscillatory singularities
- Coulomb distorted proton-nucleus formfactor
 - Proton-nucleus problem needs to be solved





Hamiltonian: $H = H_0 + V_{np} + V_{nA} + V_{pA}$

V_{np}: NN interaction -- momentum space

V_{nA}: Optical potential

Phenomenological optical potentials fitted to data from ¹²C to ²⁰⁸Pb given in coordinate space and parameterized in terms of Woods-Saxon functions

$$U_{nucl}(r) = V(r) + i [W(r) + W_{s}(r)] + V_{ls}(r) \mathbf{1} \cdot \sigma$$

$$V(r) = -V_{r} f_{ws}(r, R_{0}, a_{0})$$

$$W(r) = -W_{v} f_{ws}(r, R_{w}, a_{w})$$

$$W_{s}(r) = -W_{s}(-4a_{w}) f'_{ws}(r, R_{w}, a_{w})$$

$$V_{ls}(r) = -(V_{so} + iW_{so})(-2)g_{ws}(r, R_{so}, a_{so});$$

$$f_{ws}(r, R, a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\frac{r-R}{a})}$$

$$f'_{ws}(r, R, a) = \frac{d}{dr} f_{ws}(r, R, a)$$

$$g_{ws}(r, R, a) = f'(r, R, a)/r$$

physics + astronomy

Historical remarks on separable potentials

Considerable work on NN potentials

- Goal: application in 3-nucleon calculations
- Most sophisticated: Graz group
- Formfactors parameterized in terms of Yukawa function
 - Yukawa functions well suited for NN interaction
- Not too useful for heavy nuclei
 - Woods-Saxon function more appropriate





How to proceed: **First: Woods-Saxon functions have a semi-analytic Fourier transform:** (fast converging series expansion)

Central term:

$$\overline{V}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{V_r}{\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{\pi a_0 e^{-\pi a_0 q}}{q \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_0 q}\right)^2} \left[R_0 \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_0 q}\right) \cos\left(qR_0\right) - \pi a_0 \left(1 + e^{-2\pi a_0 q}\right) \sin\left(qR_0\right) \right] - a_0^3 e^{-\frac{R_0}{a_0}} \left[\frac{1}{\left(1 + a_0^2 q^2\right)^2} - \frac{2e^{-\frac{R_0}{a_0}}}{\left(4 + a_0^2 q^2\right)^2} \right] \right\}$$

Surface term:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{W}_{s}(\mathbf{q}) &= -4a_{w} \frac{W_{s}}{\pi^{2}} \left\{ \frac{\pi a_{w} e^{-\pi a_{w} q}}{(1 - e^{-2\pi a_{w} q})^{2}} \\ & \left[\left(\pi a_{w} \left(1 + e^{-2\pi a_{w} q} \right) - \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_{w} q} \right) \right) \cos(qR_{w}) + R_{w} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_{w} q} \right) \sin(qR_{w}) \right] \\ & + a^{2} e^{-R_{w}/a_{w}} \left[\frac{1}{(1 + a_{w}^{2} q^{2})^{2}} - \frac{4e^{-R_{w}/a_{w}}}{(4 + a_{w}^{2} q^{2})^{2}} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Details: L. Hlophe et al.: Phys.Rev. C88 (2013) 064608

How to proceed: **First: Woods-Saxon functions have a semi-analytic Fourier transform:** (fast converging series expansion)

Central term:

$$\begin{split} \overline{V}(\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{V_r}{\pi^2} \Biggl\{ \frac{\pi a_0 e^{-\pi a_0 q}}{q \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_0 q}\right)^2} \left[R_0 \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_0 q}\right) \cos\left(qR_0\right) - \pi a_0 \left(1 + e^{-2\pi a_0 q}\right) \sin\left(qR_0\right) \right] \\ &- a_0^3 e^{-\frac{R_0}{a_0}} \left[\frac{1}{\left(1 + a_0^2 q^2\right)^2} - \frac{2e^{-\frac{R_0}{a_0}}}{\left(4 + a_0^2 q^2\right)^2} \right] \Biggr\} \\ & \text{Surface term:} \\ \overline{W}_s(\mathbf{q}) &= -4a_w \frac{W_s}{\pi^2} \Biggl\{ \frac{\pi a_w e^{-\pi a_w q}}{\left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_w q}\right)^2} \\ & \left[\left(\pi a_w \left(1 + e^{-2\pi a_w q}\right) - \frac{1}{q} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_w q}\right)\right) \cos(qR_w) + R_w \left(1 - e^{-2\pi a_w q}\right) \sin(qR_w) \right] \\ &+ a^2 e^{-R_w/a_w} \left[\frac{1}{\left(1 + a_w^2 q^2\right)^2} - \frac{4e^{-R_w/a_w}}{\left(4 + a_w^2 q^2\right)^2} \right] \Biggr\} . \end{split}$$

Details: L. Hlophe et al.: Phys.Rev. C88 (2013) 064608

Second: Ernst-Shakin-Thaler (EST) Phys. Rev. C8, 46 (1973)

Define separable potential as (here V Hermitian !)

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{V|\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle\langle\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}|V|}{\langle\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}|V|\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle}$$

fixed energy

Then partial wave t-matrix :

$$\langle p'|t(E)|p\rangle = \frac{\langle p'|V|\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle\langle\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}|V|p\rangle}{\langle\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}|V-Vg_0(E)V|\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle}$$

Reminder:

$$V|\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle := t|k_E\rangle$$

The EST construction guarantees:

At a given scattering energy E_{kE} the scattering wave functions obtained with the original potential V and the separable potential V are identical . → the half-shell t-matrices are identical





Optical Potentials == Complex Potentials Generalizaton of EST necessary

L. Hlophe et al.: Phys.Rev. C88 (2013) 064608

Definition with In-state necessary to fulfill reciprocity theorem

$$U = \frac{V |\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle \langle \Psi_{k_E}^{(-)}|V|}{\langle \Psi_{k_E}^{(-)}|V|\Psi_{k_E}^{(+)}\rangle}$$

For time reversal operator ${\mathscr H}$ potential must fulfill:

KU K1= U[†]





Technical details:

Let $|fl_{kE}| > be a radial wave function and <math>K |fl_{kE}| > = |f_{kE}|^* > |f_{kE}| >$

Rank-1 separable t-matrix: $\langle p'|t(E)|p\rangle = \frac{\langle p'|u|f_{l,k_E}\rangle\langle f_{l,k_E}^*|u|p\rangle}{\langle f_{l,k_E}^*|u-ug_0(E)u|f_{l,k_E}\rangle}$

With $t(p', k_E, E_{k_E}) = \langle f_{l,k_E}^* | u | p' \rangle$ and $t(p, k_E, E_{k_E}) = \langle p | u | f_{l,k_E} \rangle$

$$\langle p'|t(E)|p\rangle = \frac{t(p',k_E,E_{k_E}) t(p,k_E,E_{k_E})}{\langle f_{l,k_E}^*|u(1-g_0(E)u)|f_{l,k_E}\rangle} \equiv t(p',k_E,E) \tau(E) t(p,k_E,E)$$

and

+ astronomy

$$\tau(E)^{-1} = t(k_E, k_E, E_{k_E}) + 2\mu \left[\mathcal{P} \int dp p^2 \frac{t(p, k_E, E_{k_E})t(p, k_E, E_{k_E})}{k_E^2 - p^2} - \mathcal{P} \int dp p^2 \frac{t(p, k_E, E_{k_E})t(p, k_E, E_{k_E})}{k_0^2 - p^2} \right] + i\pi \mu \left[k_0 t(k_0, k_E, E_{k_E})t(k_0, k_E, E_{k_E}) - k_E t(k_E, k_E, E_{k_E})t(k_E, k_E, E_{k_E}) \right] .$$



Generalization to arbitrary rank

$$\mathbf{U} = \sum_{i,j} u |f_{l,k_{E_i}}\rangle \langle f_{l,k_{E_i}} | M | f^*_l, k_{E_j}\rangle \langle f^*_{l,k_{E_j}} | u$$

with $\delta_{ik} = \sum_{j} \langle f_{l,k_{E_i}} | M | f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* \rangle \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u | f_{l,k_{E_k}} \rangle = \sum_{j} \langle f_{l,k_{E_i}}^* | u | f_{l,k_{E_j}} \rangle \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}} | M | f_{l,k_{E_k}}^* \rangle$

t-matrix $t(E) = \sum u |f_{l,k_{E_i}}\rangle \tau_{ij}(E) \langle f^*_{l,k_{E_i}} | u$

sics + astronomy

$$\sum_{j} \tau_{ij}(E) \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u - ug_0(E)u | f_{l,k_{E_k}} \rangle = \delta_{ik}$$

Compute and solve system of linear equations

Instead of analytic functions, form factors are given numerically
 Solving LS equation for n+A takes into account dependence on the different nuclei



Guideline for Rank of Separable Representation (up to ~50 MeV)

system	partial wave(s)	rank	EST support point(s) [MeV]
	$l \ge 10$	1	40
$n+^{48}Ca$	$l \geq 8$	2	29, 47
	$l \ge 6$	3	16, 36, 47
	$l \ge 0$	4	6, 15, 36, 47
	$l \ge 16$	1	40
$n+^{132}Sn$	$l \ge 13$	2	35, 48
and	$l \ge 11$	3	24, 39, 48
$n + {}^{208}Pb$	$l \ge 6$	4	11, 21, 36, 45
	$l \ge 0$	5	${f 5, 11, 21, 36, 47}$





Comparison with r-space calculation: n+⁴⁸Ca @ 12 MeV







Off-Shell t-matrix elements: t₁ (k',k; E_{k0})





hysics + astronomy

Roadmap for Proof of Principle

Separable interactions for

- neutron-proton (literature)
- − neutron-nucleus → phenomenological optical potentials
- proton-nucleus \rightarrow Woods-Saxon functions in r-space
- Coulomb distorted neutron-nucleus formfactor
 - Coulomb wave functions in momentum space
 - Integration over oscillatory singularities
- Coulomb distorted proton-nucleus formfactor
 - Proton-nucleus problem needs to be solved





Coulomb distorted neutron-nucleus formfactor

Separable t-matrix derived from p+A optical potential (generalized EST scheme)

$$t_l(E) = \sum_{i,j} u |f_{l,k_{E_i}}\rangle \tau_{ij}(E) \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u$$

Nuclear matrix elements $\langle p|t_l(E)|p'\rangle$



$$\langle p|u|f_{l,k_E}\rangle = t_l(p,k_E;E_{k_E}) \equiv u_l(p)$$

$$\langle f_{l,k_E}^*|u|p'\rangle = t_l(p',k_E;E_{k_E}) \equiv u_l(p')$$

Coulomb distorted nuclear matrix element



$$\langle \psi_{l,p}^{C} | u | f_{l,k_{E}} \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq \ q^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} \ u_{l}(q) \psi_{l,p}^{C}(q)^{\star} \equiv u_{l}^{C}(p)$$

$$\langle f_{l,k_{E}}^{\star} | u | \psi_{l,p}^{C} \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq \ q^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} \ u_{l}(q) \ \psi_{l,p}^{C}(q) \equiv u_{l}^{C}(p)^{\dagger}$$



 $\psi_{p_{\alpha}l}^{C}(p)$ is the Coulomb scattering wave function





<u>Challenge I</u>: momentum space Coulomb functions

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{General:} \quad \psi_{\mathbf{q},\eta}^{C(+)}(\mathbf{p}) = \lim_{\gamma \to +0} \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \; e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{r} - \gamma r} \; \psi_{\mathbf{q},\eta}^{C(+)}(r) \\ & \mathsf{FT: A. Chan, MS thesis} \\ & \mathsf{U. Waterloo (2007)} \\ \end{aligned} = -4\pi e^{-\pi\eta/2} \Gamma(1+i\eta) \lim_{\gamma \to +0} \frac{d}{d\gamma} \left\{ \frac{[p^2 - (q+i\gamma)^2]^{i\eta}}{[|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}|^2 + \gamma^2]^{1+i\eta}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Partial wave decomposition (Mukhamedzanov, Dolinskii) (1966)

 $Q_l^{i\eta}(\zeta)$ has different representations in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ (a;b;c;z) depending on ζ

 ζ large enough (p and q different) \longrightarrow "regular" representation

$$\begin{aligned} Q_l^{i\eta}(\zeta) &= \frac{e^{-\pi\eta}\Gamma(l+i\eta+1)\Gamma(1/2)}{2^{l+1}\Gamma(l+3/2)}(\zeta^2-1)^{i\eta/2}\,\zeta^{-l-i\eta-1} \\ &\times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{l+i\eta+2}{2},\frac{l+i\eta+1}{2};l+\frac{3}{2};\frac{1}{\zeta^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

 $\zeta \approx 1 \text{ (} p \approx q \text{)} \implies \text{"pole-proximity" representation}$ $Q_l^{i\eta}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\pi\eta} \left\{ \Gamma(i\eta) \left(\frac{\zeta+1}{\zeta-1}\right)^{i\eta/2} {}_2F_1\left(-l,l+1;1-i\eta;\frac{1-\zeta}{2}\right) \right.$ $+ \frac{\Gamma(-i\eta)\Gamma(l+i\eta+1)}{\Gamma(l-i\eta+1)} \left(\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta+1}\right)^{i\eta/2} {}_2F_1\left(-l,l+1;1+i\eta;\frac{1-\zeta}{2}\right) \right\}$





Partial-wave momentum space Coulomb functions

"regular" representation

+ astronomy

$$\begin{split} \psi_{l,q}^{C}(p) &= -\frac{4\pi\eta e^{-\pi\eta/2}q(pq)^{l}}{(p^{2}+q^{2})^{1+l+i\eta}} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1+l+i\eta)}{(1/2)_{l+1}} \right] \\ &\times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{2+l+i\eta}{2}, \frac{1+l+i\eta}{2}; l+3/2; \frac{4q^{2}p^{2}}{(p^{2}+q^{2})^{2}} \right) \\ &\times \lim_{\gamma \to 0} \left[p^{2} - (q+i\gamma)^{2} \right]^{-1+i\eta} \end{split}$$

"pole-proximity" representation:

$$\psi_{l,q}^C(p) = -\frac{2\pi}{p} \exp(-\pi\eta/2 + i\sigma_l) \left[\frac{(p+q)^2}{4pq}\right]^l \lim_{\gamma \to 0} 2 \operatorname{Sm} \mathcal{D}.$$

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(1+i\eta)e^{-i\sigma_l}(p+q)^{-1+i\eta}}{(p-q+i\gamma)^{1+i\eta}} \, _2F_1\left(-l, -l-i\eta; 1-i\eta; \frac{(p-q)^2}{(p+q)^2}\right)$$

Oscillatory singularity for $p \rightarrow q$



q = 1.5 fm⁻¹







Challenge II: Matrix elements with Coulomb basis functions

Separable t-matrix derived from p+A optical potential (generalized EST scheme)

$$t_l(E) = \sum_{i,j} u |f_{l,k_{E_i}}\rangle \tau_{ij}(E) \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u$$

Nuclear matrix elements $\langle p|t_l(E)|p'\rangle$



$$\langle p|u|f_{l,k_E}\rangle = t_l(p,k_E;E_{k_E}) \equiv u_l(p) \langle f_{l,k_E}^*|u|p'\rangle = t_l(p',k_E;E_{k_E}) \equiv u_l(p')$$

Coulomb distorted nuclear matrix element

$$\langle \psi_{l,p}^{C} | u | f_{l,k_{E}} \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq \ q^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} \ u_{l}(q) \psi_{l,p}^{C}(q)^{\star} \equiv u_{l}^{C}(p)$$
$$\langle f_{l,k_{E}}^{\star} | u | \psi_{l,p}^{C} \rangle = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dq \ q^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} \ u_{l}(q) \ \psi_{l,p}^{C}(q) \equiv u_{l}^{C}(p)^{\dagger}$$

"oscillatory" singularity at q = p: $\lim_{\gamma \to +0} \frac{1}{(q - p + i\gamma)^{1 + i\eta}}$



Gel'fand-Shilov Regularization:

Generalization of Principal value regularization Idea: reduce value of integrand near singularity

$$\int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} dx \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^{1+i\eta}} = \int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} dx \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0) - \varphi'(0)x}{x^{1+i\eta}} - \frac{i\varphi(0)}{\eta} [\Delta^{-i\eta} - (\Delta)^{-i\eta}] + \dots$$

Reduce integrand around pole by subtracting 2 terms of the Laurent series





simplified

Gel'fand-Shilov Regularization:

Generalization of Principal value regularization Idea: reduce value of integrand near singularity





simplified

 $-\frac{i\varphi(0)}{n}[\Delta^{-i\eta}-(\Delta)^{-i\eta}]+\dots$

Reduce integrand around pole by subtracting 2 terms of the Laurent series



I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov. "Generalized Functions". Vol. 1. Academic Press, New York and London. 1964.

physics + astronomy







+ ¹²C р





UNIVERSITY

p + ⁴⁸Ca

First Physics Check:

+ astronomy



Selected partial wave S-matrix elements S_{I+1} for p+⁴⁸Ca (CH89 optical potential) with Coulomb distorted n+⁴⁸Ca formfactors

Calculated with neutron-nucleus formfactors



Roadmap for Proof of Principle

Separable interactions for

- neutron-proton (literature)
- − neutron-nucleus → phenomenological optical potentials
- proton-nucleus \rightarrow Woods-Saxon functions in r-space
- Coulomb distorted neutron-nucleus formfactor
 - Coulomb wave functions in momentum space
 - Integration over oscillatory singularities
- Coulomb distorted proton-nucleus formfactor
 - Proton-nucleus problem needs to be solved





Coulomb distorted proton-nucleus formfactor

EST construction based on:

- solve the scattering problem in complete basis
- require that for a set energies E_i the wave functions (half-shell t-matrices) obtained with the original and separable potential coincide.

EST construction can be performed in the Coulomb basis

$$t_l^{CN}(E) = \sum_{i,j} u |f_{l,k_{E_i}}\rangle \tau_{ij}^C(E) \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u$$
$$\sum_j \tau_{ij}^C(E) \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u - ug_C(E)u | f_{l,k_{E_k}}\rangle = \delta_{ik}$$
$$\hat{g}_C(E_{p_0}) = (E - \hat{H}^C + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \qquad \hat{H}^C = H_0 + \hat{V}^C$$

Coulomb Green's function





$$t_l^{CN}(E) = \sum_{i,j} u |f_{l,k_{E_i}}\rangle \tau_{ij}^C(E) \langle f_{l,k_{E_j}}^* | u$$

Multiply from left and right with a Coulomb state:

$$\langle \psi_{l,k_E}^C | u | f_{l,k_E} \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp \ p^2}{2\pi^2} \ u_l(p) \ (\psi_{l,k_E}^C)^*(p) \equiv u_l^C(k_E)$$
$$\langle f_{l,k_E}^* | u | \psi_{l,k_E}^C(k_E) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp \ p^2}{2\pi^2} \ u_l(p) \ \psi_{l,k_E}^C(p) \equiv (u_l^C)^\dagger(k_E)$$

However: Not a consistent EST construction.

Previous figure used n-A t-matrix calculated in a plane wave basis as input.



Wave functions obtained with original and separable potential are **not** the same at the support points if calculated in different bases.







We need the p+A half-shell t-matrices for a charged particle EST generalization **Non-trivial** (due to "pinch singularity")

Use approach by Elster, Liu, Thaler, JPG 19, 2123 (1993)

Solve Lippmann-Schwinger equation in Coulomb distorted basis:

$$\langle k' | \tau_l(E) | k \rangle = \langle k' | U_l | k \rangle + \int \langle k' | U_l | k'' \rangle \frac{4\pi k''^2 \, \mathrm{d}k''}{E - E'' + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon} \langle k'' | \tau_l(E) | k \rangle$$

Looks like a regular LS equation if potential element

$$\langle k'|U_l|k \rangle = \langle (\phi_l^{C})^{(+)}(k')|V^{S}|(\phi_l^{C})^{(+)}(k) \rangle$$
This is the hard part!





$$\langle k' | U_l | k \rangle = \langle (\phi_l^{C})^{(+)}(k') | V^{S} | (\phi_l^{C})^{(+)}(k) \rangle$$

Matrix elements exist and are well defined if V^s is finite-ranged

We solve this as:

$$\langle k'|U_l|k\rangle = \int \langle \phi_l^{\rm C}(k')|r'\rangle r'^2 \,\mathrm{d}r' \langle r'|V_l^{\rm S}|r''\rangle r''^2 \,\mathrm{d}r'' \langle r''|\phi_l^{\rm C}(k)\rangle$$



Woods-Saxon given in Coordinate space





Summary & Outlook

Faddeev-AGS framework in Coulomb basis passed first test!

- Momentum space nuclear form factors obtained in a Coulomb distorted basis for high charges for the first time.
- > "Oscillatory singularity" of $\psi_{p_{\alpha},l}^{c}(p)$ at $p = p_{\alpha}$ successfully regularized.
- > Algorithms to compute $\psi_{p_{\alpha},l}^{c}(p)$ and the overlap integral successfully implemented

In Progress:

Calculations with separable p+A optical potentials (EST type)

Near Future:

Implementation of Faddeev-AGS equations in the Coulomb basis to obtain (d,p) observables





TORUS: Theory of Reactions for Ustable iSotopes

A Topical Collaboration for Nuclear Theory

http://www.reactiontheory.org/



- Ch. Elster, V. Eremenko^{†‡}, and L. Hlophe[†]: Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701.
- F. M. Nunes and N. J. Upadhyay[†]: National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824.
- G. Arbanas: Nuclear Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831.
- J. E. Escher and I. J. Thompson: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, L-414, Livermore, CA 94551.

 † Post-Docs or Grad Students.

[‡] M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, 119991, Russia.

physics + astronomy



Some insights for momentum space Coulomb wave functions:

$$\underline{\text{Pole:}} \qquad \psi_{p_{\alpha}l}^{C}(p) = -\frac{4\pi}{p} e^{-\pi\eta/2} \Gamma(1+i\eta) e^{i\alpha_{l}} \left[\frac{(p+p_{\alpha})^{2}}{4pp_{\alpha}} \right]^{l} \\ \times \operatorname{Im} \left[e^{-i\alpha_{l}} \frac{(p+p_{\alpha}+i0)^{-1+i\eta}}{(p-p_{\alpha}+i0)^{1+i\eta}} {}_{2}F_{1} \left(-l, -l-i\eta; 1-i\eta; \zeta \equiv \frac{(p-p_{\alpha})^{2}}{(p+p_{\alpha})^{2}} \right) \right]$$

Switching point:
$$\zeta = \chi \approx 0.34$$
 $\eta = Z_1 Z_2 e^2 \mu / p_{\alpha}$

$$\underbrace{\text{Non-Pole:}}_{p_{\alpha}l} \quad \psi_{p_{\alpha}l}^{C}(p) = -\frac{4\pi\eta e^{-\pi\eta/2} p_{\alpha}(pp_{\alpha})^{2}}{(p^{2} + p_{\alpha}^{2})^{l+1+i\eta}} \left[\frac{\Gamma(l+1+i\eta)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(l+3/2)} \right] \\
\times [p^{2} - (p_{\alpha} + i0)^{2}]^{-1+i\eta} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{l+2+i\eta}{2}, \frac{l+1+i\eta}{2}; l+\frac{3}{2}; \chi \equiv \frac{4p^{2}p_{\alpha}^{2}}{(p^{2} + p_{\alpha}^{2})^{2}}\right)$$





Type equation here.



